Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/010,890	SAKAMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alonzo Chambliss	2827
All Participants: Status of Application: Notice of allowance is forthcoming.		
(1) <u>Alonzo Chambliss</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Samuel Borodach</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8/4/03</u>	Time: <u>2:30PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	licant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112 2 nd paragraph and non-statutory obviousness double pate	ent	
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: US 6,548,328		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GET See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHA	TWAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Alany Chamillus		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to suggest deleting "forming" and inserting -- exposing -- into independent claim 1 to avoid a potential 112 2nd paragraph rejection and to fax in a terminal disclaimer to prevent a non-statutory obviousness double patenting rejection using US 6,548,328. Applicant received authorization from the client to make the above suggestions and faxed over an amendment to claim 1 and a terminal disclaimer. Therefore, a notice of allowance is forthcoming.